

KINGS LAW REPORTS (ALL SC)

**(1995) 10 KLR PART 35 pp. 1931 - 2030
OCTOBER 1995**

Chief Editor

O. O. Noel Esq. (Barrister & Solicitor)

DEDICATED TO:

The King of kings

All lovers of Justice

All that thirst after current knowledge of the Law

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

- | | |
|--|---------|
| 1. Broadline Ltd. v. Monterey Maritime Corp. | p. 1931 |
| 2. Ogoyi v. Umagba | p. 1982 |
| 3. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula | p. 1996 |
| 4. Wakama v. Kalio | p. 2017 |

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES

Hon. Justice Mohammed Bello CJN

Hon. Justice Muhammadu Lawal Uwais

Hon. Justice Adolphus Karibi - Whyte

Hon. Justice Salihu Modibbo Alfa Belgore

Hon. Justice Abubakar Bashir Wali

Hon. Justice Idris Legbo Kutigi

Hon. Justice Michael Ekundayo Ogundare

Hon. Justice Emmanuel Obioma Ogwuegbu

Hon. Justice Uthman Mohammed

Hon. Justice Yekini Olayiwola Adio

Hon. Justice Anthony Ikechukwu Iguh

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - Appellate Court will not interfere therewith - Where supported by sufficient evidence - Unless it occasioned miscarriage of justice. *Ogoyi v. Umagba* p. 1982

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - By two lower courts - Where no exceptional circumstance has been shown - Supreme Court will not disturb them. *Wakama v. Kalio* p. 2017

BAILMENT - Liability in negligence - For failure to deliver goods entrusted to the bailee - May arise - Whether goods are entrusted gratuitously or for reward - Save bailee can prove lack of negligence on his part. *Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp.* p. 1931

BAILMENT - Negligence - Prima facie proof thereof - Is necessary for success in bailment claim - But it is not mandatory to specifically plead negligence. *Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp.* p. 1931

BAILMENT - Cause of action - Whether a claim in bailment can avail - Even where there is no contract between the parties. *Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp.* p. 1931

BILL OF LADING - Locus to prosecute action - Based on a bill of lading - Whether proper endorsement exist - To grant locus to the appellant. *Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp.* p. 1931

COURTS - Error in Law - By granting what was not claimed at trial to the defendant - Is not capable of redeeming appellant's case that failed woefully. *Ogoyi v. Umagba* p. 1982

CUSTOMARY LAW - Repugnancy - Bini customary law of inheritance - Whether repugnant to natural justice. *Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula* p. 1996

DAMAGES - Assessment - When appellate court can assess special or general damages - To avoid remitting case to trial court - For that sole purpose. *Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp.* p. 1931

EVIDENCE - Cross-examination - Failure to Cross-examine plaintiff

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1995) 10 KLR**

s witnesses - Means no challenge to appellant's evidence. Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

EVIDENCE - Abandonment of a head of claim - Whether tantamount to abandonment of any evidence - That is relevant to the determination of live Issues in the suit. Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

EVIDENCE - Averment in pleadings - Where no evidence is led in proof of the averment - Onus of proof on the respondents is not discharged. Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

EVIDENCE - Resting defence on plaintiff's case - Onus is discharged on I minimal proof thereby - Court is bound to act on the unchallenged plaintiffs evidence. Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

JUDGMENTS - Relief - Whether the relief granted by the Court of Appeal - Is different from that sought by 1st respondent. Wakama v. Kalio p. 2017

LAND LAW - Sale - Subsequent erroneous sale of land - Whether the vendor - Is bound thereby - As to defeat a prior valid sale. Wakama v. Kalio p. 2017

LAND LAW - Sale - Where misdirected purchase price cannot be justified 1701 being too low - It will be inequitable to bind the Seller. Wakama v. Kalio p.2017

LAND LAW - Sale - Offer of land with options on mode of payment - _ Where the option accepted by purchaser has no time limit - Whether payment was made within time. Wakama v. Kalio p. 2017

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Statute bar - Bini custom - That vests the family house in the eldest son - Whether there is any limitation in the customary make plaintiffs' action statute barred. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Native law and custom of Bini - Whether the Limitation Law provisions - Were meant to defeat or protect some customary practices. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

NEGLIGENCE - Reliance on an aspect of claim - Abandonment of claim in contact - While pursuing the claim in negligence - Whether tantamount to abandoning the entire claim. Breadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

PLEADINGS - Bailment - Whether from the pleadings and evidence - Appellant made no claim on bailment. Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

SUCCESSION - Will - Validity - Will that did not vest the family house in the eldest son - Whether completely invalidated thereby. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

SUCCESSION - Will - Capacity to make a will - In the former Bendel State - Is subject to entrenched native law and custom - That the family house can only be vested in the eldest son. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

SUCCESSION - Paternity - whether 1st plaintiff has established his legitimacy - As the eldest son of the testator. Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, ss. 39 (2); 213 and 219 Ogoyi v. Umagba p. 1982; s. 213 Wakama v. Kalio p. 2017

Decree No. 90 of 1979, s. 1 Wakama v. Kalio p. 2017

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1976; 0.31 r. 12 Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

Merchant Shipping Act, LFN 1990 Cap 224, s. 375 Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

Supreme Court Rules, 1985; O. 6 r. 5(1), O. 10 rr. (1) & (2) Broadline Ltd v. Monterey Maritime Corp. p. 1931

WILLS LAW, Cap 172, Laws of Bendel State of Nigeria, 1976; ss. 3(1)(2) (a) (b) (c) (d) Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996

WILLS (LIMITATION) LAW, Cap 89, Laws of Bendel State of Nigeria, 1976; ss 1 (2), 4 (2), 6 (2), 20 (1) (2) (3), 33(1). Lawal-Osula v. Lawal-Osula p. 1996